



ZRW

Attorney Docket: 25799

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

EVEN et al.

Application No.: 10/695,623

Filing Date: October 29, 2003

Confirmation No.: 5387

Examiner: MAI, Tan V.

Group Art Unit: 2193

Title: **PIPELINED MULTIPLICATIVE DIVISION WITH IEEE ROUNDING**

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith for filing in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is the following:

- (1) Transmittal Letter; and
- (2) Response to Restriction Requirement

If an Extension of Time under 37 CFR §1.136 is required and has not been separately requested, please consider this Transmittal Letter as including a request for such Extension of Time and as a further authorization to charge any fee for such Extension of Time, as may be required by 37 CFR §1.17, to Deposit Account No. 14-0112. Also, please charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, in connection with this matter to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Respectfully submitted,
NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

By:

Gary M. Nath, Reg. No. 26,965
Jerald L. Meyer, Reg. No. 41,194
Matthew J. Moffa, Reg. No. 58,860
Customer No. 20529

Date: January 16, 2007
THE NATH LAW GROUP
112 South West Street
Alexandria, VA. 22314
Tel: 703 548 6284
Fax: 703 683 8396
GMN/JLM/mjm



Appl. No. 10/695,623 // Attorney Docket No. 25799
Response to Restriction Requirement dated December 18, 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Confirmation No.: 5387

EVEN et al.

Examiner: MAI, Tan V.

Application No.: 10/695,623

Group Art Unit: 2193

Filing Date: October 29, 2003

Title: **PIPELINED MULTIPLICATIVE DIVISION WITH IEEE ROUNDING**

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a full and complete response to the Office Action having a mailing date of December 18, 2006. The one month shortened statutory period to respond was set to expire January 18, 2007, making this a timely filed response.

In view of the following response, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner continue to conduct a substantive examination on the merits.

REMARKS

Claims 1 – 6 are pending in the application. In the outstanding Office Action, claims 1 – 6 were subjected to a restriction requirement. By this response, an election is made without traverse.